Table II.A. 2. c. (3) (1998) Percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an any-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by firm size and State: United States, 1998 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States	6. 9%	11. 8%	7. 8%	3. 4%	1. 1%	2. 3%	9. 8%	2. 1%
New Engl and:								
Massachusetts	4.4%						6. 0%	1.1% *
New Hampshi re	6. 1%						8. 2%	0. 8% *
Connecti cut	8. 1%						11. 5%	0. 8% *
Middle Atlantic:								
New York	9. 6%						11. 8%	4. 1% *
New Jersey	7. 4%		These cell es	stimates have be	en sunnressed		10. 1%	0. 8% *
Pennsyl vani a	12. 1%		because the size		• •		16. 9%	2. 2% *
East North Central:						•		
Ohi o	7. 1%		them extremel	y unreliable. (	Column or row		11. 7%	1.5% *
Indi ana	9. 5%		estimates show	uld be used in p	olace of these		15. 4%	2. 4% *
Illinois	5. 6%			estimates.			6. 5%	4. 0% *
Mi chi gan	13. 7%			CSCI MACCOSI			19. 1%	3. 5%
Wi sconsi n	8. 0%						11. 3% *	2. 4% *
West North Central:	0.070						11. 5%	₩. 1/0
Mi nnesota	10. 4%						15. 1%	1.5% *
I owa	11. 6%						15. 1%	6. 4% *
Mi ssouri	4. 6% *						7. 5% *	0. 5% *
Nebraska	11. 4%						15.8%	3. 6% *
Kansas	9. 0%						13. 6%	1. 9% *
South Atlantic:	3.070						13. 0%	1. 5/0
Del aware	6. 3%						8. 8%	1.8% *
Maryl and	4. 5% *						7.0% *	0. 8% *
Vi rgi ni a	8. 1%						11.6%	2. 5% *
West Virginia	15. 5%						21. 8%	7. 1% *
North Carolina	5. 4% *						8.4% *	0. 8% *
South Carolina	4. 1% *						4.4% *	3. 7% *
	3. 9% *						6. 7% *	0. 4% *
Georgi a Fl ori da	3. 0% *						4. 7% *	
	3.0%						4. /%	0. 5% *
East South Central:	6.6%						10. 70/	0. 5% *
Kentucky Tennessee	3. 3% *						10. 7% 2. 4% *	4. 3% *
Alabama	6.4%						6. 3%	6.6% *
West South Central:	0 70/ *						10 40/ *	0 10/ +
Arkansas	6. 7% *						10.4% *	2. 1% *
Loui si ana	3. 5% *						5. 2% *	1. 6% *
0kl ahoma	5. 5%						8.5% *	1. 9% *
Texas	4. 1%						5. 9% *	1. 9% *
Mountain:	1.4 00/						10 10	4 00/ *
I daho	14. 0%						19. 1%	4. 2% *
Wyomi ng	22. 0%						32. 9%	5. 5% *
Colorado	3. 0% *						3. 4% *	2. 2% *
New Mexico	7. 1%						11. 4%	1. 4% *
Arizona	4. 3%						6. 4%	1. 8% *
Utah	8. 1%						10.0%	4.8% *
Pacific:								
Washi ngton	6. 6%						8. 5%	2. 7% *
0regon	3. 7% *						3. 9% *	3. 4% *
California	3. 6%						5. 1% *	1. 2% *
States not shown separately	12. 1%						18. 1%	1. 7% *

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 1998 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
\*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.

Table II.A. 2. c. (3) (1998) Standard error for percent of private-sector establishments that offer health insurance that offer an any-provider plan that required no contribution from the employee for family coverage by firm size and State: United States, 1998 (40 States are shown separately)

Division and State	Total	Less than 10 employees	10 - 24 employees	25 - 99 employees	100-999 employees	1000 or more employees	Less than 50 employees	50 or more employees
United States	0. 23%	0. 40%	0. 91%	0. 55%	0. 14%	0. 38%	0. 38%	0. 20%
New England:								
Massachusetts	1. 10%						1. 52%	0. 59% *
New Hampshire	0. 75%						1. 03%	0. 83% *
Connecticut	1. 76%						2. 24%	0. 42% *
Middle Atlantic:	1. 70%						2. 2 1/0	0. 12/0
New York	1. 03%						1. 45%	1.46% *
New Jersey	1. 83%						2. 37%	0. 68% *
Pennsyl vani a	1. 43%						2. 05%	0. 77% *
East North Central:	1. 45/0						2. 03%	0. 7770
Ohi o	1. 20%						2. 27%	0.90% *
	2. 25%						3. 45%	1. 19% *
Indi ana	2. 25% 1. 13%						3. 45% 1. <b>82</b> %	1. 19% *
Illinois								
Mi chi gan	2. 13%						3. 02%	0.74%
Wi sconsi n	2. 30%						3. 58% *	1.15% *
West North Central:								
Mi nnesota	2. 53%						3. 71%	0.83% *
I owa	1. 78%						3. 64%	3. 06% *
Mi ssouri	1.65% *						3. 24% *	0. 25% *
Nebraska	1. 69%						2. 67%	1.19% *
Kansas	1. 99%						3. 43%	0.90% *
South Atlantic:								
Del aware	1.67%						2. 48%	0.85% *
Maryl and	1.91% *						2. 94% *	0. 57% *
Vi rgi ni a	0. 90%						2. 04%	1.34% *
West Virginia	3. 60%						5. 52%	3. 62% *
North Carolina	1. 61% *						2. 57% *	0. 50% *
South Carolina	1. 79% *						1. 57% *	3. 21% *
Georgi a	1. 67% *						3. 67% *	0. 23% *
Fl ori da	1. 08% *						1. 78% *	0. 35% *
East South Central:	1. 00%						1. 70%	0. 33%
Kentucky	1. 42%						2. 47%	0. 22% *
Tennessee	2. 31% *						1. 70% *	2. 80% *
Al abama	1. 21%						1. 45%	2. 83% *
West South Central:	1. 21/0						1. 45%	2. 83/0
Arkansas	2. 09% *						4. 43% *	0.89% *
Loui si ana	1. 58% *						2. 41% *	1. 20% *
0kl ahoma	1. 57%						3. 63% *	0.88% *
Texas	1. 19%						1. 95% *	0.86% *
Mountain:								
I daho	2. 41%						3. 92%	1.45% *
Wyomi ng	3. 01%						5. 06%	2.58% *
Col orado	1. 09% *						1. 52% *	0.96% *
New Mexico	1. 59%						2. 31%	1.02% *
Ari zona	0.80%						0. 85%	1.09% *
Utah	2.01%						2. 49%	2. 58% *
Paci fi c:								
Washi ngton	1. 35%						2. 16%	0.84% *
0regon	1.53% *						1.55% *	1.70% *
Cal i forni a	1.00%						1. 78% *	0.49% *
States not shown separately	1. 69%						3. 07%	0.53% *
								_

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Center for Cost and Financing Studies. 1998 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey - Insurance Component. Note: Definitions and descriptions of the methods used for this survey can be found in the Technical Appendix.
\*Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision.